UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,351	11/26/2003	Arnold M. Lund	8285/664	8066
	7590 03/03/2011 Department - BHGL		EXAMINER	
Attn: Patent Do	cketing Room 2A-207		PATEL, JAY P	
One AT&T Wa Bedminster, NJ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2466	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/724,351	LUND, ARNOLD M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAY P. PATEL	2466		

		O/ (T T : T / (T E E	2400	
The MAILING DATE o	f this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2	010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
application in condition for al	mely file one of the following lowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliand	of Appeal. To avoid abandonment avit, or other evidence, which plac ee with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Red d within one of the following time	es the
a) The period for reply expires	months from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
no event, however, will the	statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	th in the final rejection, whichever is la ing date of the final rejection. HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH	
	EJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained un have been filed is the date for purposes under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any may reduce any earned patent term and NOTICE OF APPEAL	s of determining the period of ext m: (1) the expiration date of the s reply received by the Office later	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	nt of the fee. The appropriate extensi iginally set in the final Office action; o	on fee or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was file	ed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two months of the da	ate of
filing the Notice of Appeal (3)	7 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter		to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
3. The proposed amendment(s	s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brid	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
· · ·		nsideration and/or search (see N	OTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of	•			_
(c) They are not deemed t appeal; and/or	o place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying the issues	tor
_ ` ` `	L claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims	
	37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		-,	
<u> </u>		21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324	4).
<u> </u>	me the following rejection(s):			,
6. Newly proposed or amende			e, timely filed amendment cancelir	ng the
non-allowable claim(s).		-		_
 For purposes of appeal, the how the new or amended cla The status of the claim(s) is of The status of the claim(s) 	ims would be rejected is prov		will be entered and an explanatior	n of
Claim(s) allowed:	····· - / · · · · · ·			
Claim(s) objected to:	400.00			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7,21</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from con				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENC				
8. The affidavit or other evidence	e filed after a final action, bur		Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be enterdayit or other evidence is necessar	
	or other evidence failed to o		eal and/or appellant fails to provide	
10. The affidavit or other evider		n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERAT		NOT I II II II II		
11. The request for reconsidera See Continuation Sheet.				se:
12. ☐ Note the attached Informati 13. ☐ Other:	on טוכנוסsure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Daniel J. Ryman/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner,	Art Unit 2466			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Dunn fails to teach the automatic establishment of the virtual channel after the configuration of CPE for the calling party is determined to be compatible with the configuration of CPE for the called party. However, as the examiner has stated paragraphs 8-9 on page 3 of the Final rejection the examiner has relied on Dunn's combination with Berkley to read on the above-mentioned limitation. Furthermore, the applicant argues with respect to the Berkley reference that the limitation at issue (in the amended claim 1 of the present application) is directed to determining the configuration of the CPE utilized by the parties and not determining the configuration of the parties themselves. However, in addition to the disclosure from Berkley relied on above and after taking a closer look at the Berkley AUR database respectfully disagrees. The data elements in entry category 220 represent various home, work, cellular telephone (CPE) numbers by which the user may normally be reached (see column 8, lines 1-7 in Berkley). Furthermore, entry category 260 contains data elements LAN IP and Modem IP representing the IP addresses by which the user may be reach via a packet network for carrying out real-time IP message (see column 8, lines 23-27 in Berkley). Furthermore, the applicant has cited Berkley column 7 lines 9-67 to argue that the database in Berkley is merely a dynamic linked list however the elements the examiner has cited (i.e. 220 in figure 2) read on a CPE associated with a called or calling party being configured.